

105 009 44

Rec'd PCT/PTO 07 JUL 2004

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

10/500944

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau(43) International Publication Date
24 July 2003 (24.07.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 03/059178 A1(51) International Patent Classification⁷: A61B 17/16

(21) International Application Number: PCT/IB03/00092

(22) International Filing Date: 16 January 2003 (16.01.2003)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/349,103 16 January 2002 (16.01.2002) US(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): PREC-
IMED S.A. [CH/CH]; L'Echelette 7, CH-2534 Orvin (CH).

(72) Inventor; and


(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): LECHOT, André
[CH/CH]; Sous L'Eau-Belle 24, CH-2534 Orvin (CH).(74) Agents: GROSFILLIER, Philippe et al.; c/o Bugnion
S.A., Case Postale 375, CH-1211 Genève 12 (CH).(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH,
GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC,
LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW,
MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE,
SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ,
VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),
Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),
European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,
ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SI,
SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN,
GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: CONTOURED REAMER TEETH



(57) Abstract: An acetabular reamer (10') for cutting a required cut shape. The reamer has a cutting shell (12') having a series of doubly curved cutting teeth (16') thereon of a quantity to substantially reduce a cutting pressure on each tooth as well as to reduce a size of a typical chip generated upon cutting. Substantially all the teeth each have a matched arc cutting edge (20') of substantial length that has a cutting profile which substantially matches a profile of a shape to be cut. Such a configuration reduces the number of teeth required to cut the shape.

WO 03/059178 A1

CONTOURED REAMER TEETH

Background of the Invention

The invention relates to surgical products, and in particular, to surgical reamers for cutting shaped cavities in bone.

5 In order to produce a shaped cavity in bone for a hip implant, which requires smooth walls and accurate shape, it is advantageous that the reamer shell or cutting bowl be hemispherical. Further, the cutting teeth must be properly located and oriented. Still further, the tooth height is important to the size of bone chip and thus to the accuracy of the shape cut by the reamer.

10 In most cases, an implant in a hip socket is best fixed to a concave, hemispherical cavity. However, such a shape is not strictly necessary. Other acetabular cutting shells are non-hemispherical but the principle explained here may be adapted to include such other geometries.

15 It is increasingly important, especially with cementless hip surgery, that the acetabulum be reamed to an exact form, generally a hemisphere, thus allowing maximal contact between the bone and the definitive (hemispherical) implant.

Further, there is increasing emphasis on cutting a smaller incision to minimise the trauma to the patient and to aid the rate of recovery. Meeting this additional requirement provides an additional challenge to the designers of medical instruments and implants. In addition, the change in surgical procedure includes the fact that the surgeon now more often maintains the acetabular reamer handle on a single axis rather than performing the step of "sweeping" the end of the tool handle through an angle and thus continuously changing the axis of the reamer cut. If a test is made maintaining a prior art reamer handle on a constant axis, then a series of concentric rings are cut that, on a macro-scale, approximate a hemisphere. When the surgeon "sweeps" the axis of the reamer handle, these irregularities are removed (in a similar manner to polishing) yielding a hemispherical surface.

20 In an effort to maximize the number of concentric rings, to minimize chatter/vibration and thus approach a smooth hemispherical surface without sweeping, it is desirable to add more teeth. However, when this is done, mechanical strength decreases. Further, it is more difficult in this case to insure that the cut profile of each tooth overlaps. Larger teeth have been attempted but either the chip size and cutting stresses were too large or the reamer was too complex. Further, due to the large opening adjacent the larger teeth, mechanical strength was sacrificed.

US Patent No. 5,116,165 to Saylor describes a reamer having a limited number of discrete blade-like teeth. These teeth are defined by a single curve of the profile of the form to be cut. In other words, these teeth are flat. Such a tooth form thus is not supported in that no structure is provided to help maintain the form of the tooth (other than the tooth itself) when
5 faced with the sometimes unusually high cutting pressures of reaming. Further, the integrity of the spherical form of the reamer can be affected when there are a limited number of extensive slits of cuts in the spherical. This integrity is affected by the fact that high stresses are induced at the sharp corners of the slits.

Often the form of the tooth is a function of the original material form: the sheet
10 material or the base diameter of the hemisphere or of the manufacturing method. Often no consideration is given to the form of the cut surface. Therefore, the cut of a single tooth often only approximates the required form of a sphere or a hemisphere. For example, it may yield a planar surface or have a radius different than that required and further generate an overall hemisphere that is irregular.

15 Therefore, what is needed is a reamer that minimizes the discrete cut surfaces and generate a series of cuts that comprise a single defined geometry. Further, what is needed is a tooth form that can be controlled independently of the form of the original material form.

Summary of the Invention

The above problems are solved through the provision of an acetabular reamer for
20 cutting a required cut shape, the reamer having a cutting shell on which are located a series of doubly-curved cutting teeth thereon of a quantity to substantially reduce a cutting pressure on each tooth as well as to reduce a size of a typical chip generated upon cutting. Substantially all the teeth each have a matched arc cutting edge of substantial length that has a cutting profile which substantially matches a profile of a shape to be cut. Such a configuration reduces the
25 number of teeth required to cut the shape. Further, by punching up or forming a larger number of smaller teeth, it is easier to maintain the spherical shape of the reamer.

The invention thus gives an improved quality of cut surface and allows fewer teeth to be employed.

Brief Description of the Drawings

30 FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a reamer of the prior art.

FIG. 2A is a top view of a tooth of a reamer of the prior art.

FIG. 2B is a side cross-sectional view of a tooth of a reamer of the prior art.

FIG. 2C is a front view of a tooth of a reamer of the prior art.

FIG. 3A is a top view of a tooth of a reamer of the prior art.

FIG. 3B is a side cross-sectional view of a tooth of a reamer of the prior art.

FIG. 3C is a front view of a tooth of a reamer of the prior art.

FIG. 4 is a side view of the reamer of the invention.

5

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment

Referring to FIG. 1, a typical acetabular reamer 10 of the prior art is shown. The reamer 10 has a cutting bowl or shell 12 defining a surface 14 on which are located teeth 16 adjacent openings 18. A base 20 provides a tool-engaging device (not shown)

10 Referring now to FIG. 2A, a tooth 16 of the reamer 10 is shown. The tooth 16 has a diverging surface 22 ("rise", marked Zone A) backing up the cutting edge 20. Some distance behind the cutting edge 20 (to the left of the cutting edge in the figure), about where the dashed line 26 is located, this surface 30 begins to curve inwardly toward the surface of Zone B, and then towards the reamer cutting bowl surface 14. Surface reflection lines 28 help indicate the form of these surfaces.

15 Referring now to FIG. 2B, a cross sectional side view of the tooth profile 32 of the prior art reamer 10 is shown. The form of the profile 32 of the rise 22 is non-linear as indicated.

20 Referring to FIG. 2C, the diverging surfaces 22 of Zone A are visible when one examines a front view of the tooth 16 of the prior art reamer 10. In other prior art reamers, not shown, corresponding portions of the rise 22 are not visible in a front view but are hidden from view, due to their convergence toward an apex.

25 These rises 22, respective cutting edges 20, and openings 18 are either positioned on the reamer cutting surface in a spiral arrangement or randomly. The term "spiral" is meant to include any form in which the cutting teeth 16 are orderly organized in a manner to sweep out the entire shape to be cut. A preferred spiral arrangement is an arrangement in which adjacent teeth are uniformly angularly offset from each other at any adjacent circle of latitude, namely, that adjacent teeth lie on differing circles of longitude evenly spaced apart from one another. Further, it is preferred that there be a given overlap between adjacent cutting rings (i.e., the rings swept out by each tooth 16).

30 These prior art reamers 10 have a crest 38, which generally approximates a narrow peak.

Referring now to Figures 3A to 3C, the present invention has a cutting shell 12' on which are located a series of doubly-curved cutting teeth 16' thereon of a quantity to substantially reduce a cutting pressure on each tooth as well as to reduce a size of a typical

chip generated upon cutting. Substantially all the teeth 16' each have a matched arc cutting edge 20' of substantial length that has a cutting profile which substantially matches a profile of a shape to be cut. The matched arc cutting edge 20' is adjacent secondary cutting edges 21' supported by adjacent rise portions 29', characterizable as gusset or buttress portions, which curve back toward the cutting shell 12' and support the secondary cutting edges 21'. The overall cutting edges 20' are therefore doubly-curved in that at least two distinct curves are required to define each cutting edge 20'. An opening 18' precedes the cutting edges as the reamer 10' is rotated for cutting. Such a configuration reduces the number of teeth required to cut the shape. The invention thus gives an improved quality of cut surface and allows fewer teeth 16' to be employed.

Although the cutting edge 20' appears flat in the figure, it actually follows the contour of the surface to be cut, in this case, a hemispherical surface. In this embodiment, the radius R of the cutting edge 20' matches the radius of the surface to be cut.

Contrary to conventional wisdom, the new tooth 16' is not generated by the manufacture of a larger or wider opening 18 adjacent to the tooth. The opening 18' is, as in the prior art, substantially round in shape. The tooth 16' is generated by the manner in which the tooth is deformed and the profile of the eventual shape. In this embodiment, the rise 22' approximates the shape of a rectangular prism intersecting the cutting bowl at a tangent to the surface of the cutting bowl 12. The teeth 16' have clearly diverging surfaces (in Zone A) which follow the cutting edge 20'. Note that common reference numerals are used for the same or analogous features throughout the drawings.

Further, it has been learned that by forming or punching up more smaller teeth, it is easier to maintain ensure that the spherical shape of the reamer is not compromised.

For any given radial from the center of the acetabulum to the periphery, a different part of the sector is cut by a different tooth 16'. The invention of a longer tooth 16' following the rounded opening 18' and which matches more closely the required radius R, means that a reduced number of teeth are required to cut the full radius. Further, the use of a series of cutting teeth 16' on the cutting shell 12' substantially reduces the cutting pressure on each tooth as well as to reduce a size of a typical chip generated upon cutting. The ability to use fewer teeth 16' further allows sections 50 (shown in FIG. 5) of the hemisphere to be removed while maintaining a high quality of cut surface. An example of a acetabular reamer with sections cut away is given in the diagrams of PCT applications serial numbers PCT/IB01/02675 and PCT/IB01/02676 entitled HOLDER FOR A SURGICAL REAMER and

SURGICAL REAMER, respectively, filed on 21 December 2001, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference thereto.

The present invention generates a tooth 16' with a wider cutting profile that is therefore easier to overlap with the cut generated by the next tooth at a different latitudinal elevation on the hemisphere. Additionally the tooth 16' cuts a sector of the required hemisphere, matching the required radius exactly. The improved tooth allows a full hemisphere to be cut with fewer teeth.

Preferably, the reamer 10' includes a series of cutting teeth 16' arranged uniformly and spaced apart on the cutting shell. These teeth 16' may be arranged in a spiral arrangement on the cutting shell 12'.

In an alternate embodiment, best seen in FIG. 4, the cutting shell is a portion of a hemisphere, in this case, in which sections 50 (shown by dashed lines) have been removed in the manufacturing process. In this embodiment, the length of the cutting edges are selected so as to completely cut the shape while being of a number that still ensures good mechanical strength. The longer cutting edges allows the use of less teeth while still cutting a good hemispherical form than permissible with a cutting shell that has a more complete hemispherical shape.

A tool engaging portion 52 is comprised of two intersecting bars 54 and 56, one bar 54 of which optionally having two flats 60 (only one of which is shown) on its outer ends, adjacent their connecting points on the reamer cutting shell 12'.

Multiple variations and modifications are possible in the embodiments of the invention described here. Although certain illustrative embodiments of the invention have been shown and described here, a wide range of modifications, changes, and substitutions is contemplated in the foregoing disclosure. In some instances, some features of the present invention may be employed without a corresponding use of the other features. Accordingly, it is appropriate that the foregoing description be construed broadly and understood as being given by way of illustration and example only, the spirit and scope of the invention being limited only by the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. An acetabular reamer for cutting a required cut shape, comprising a cutting shell having a series of cutting teeth thereon of a quantity to substantially reduce a cutting pressure on each tooth as well as to reduce a size of a typical chip generated upon cutting, wherein
5 substantially all the teeth are doubly curved, having a matched arc cutting edge of substantial length, the matched arc cutting edge having a cutting profile which substantially matches a profile of the shape to be cut, thereby reducing a number of teeth required to cut the shape.
10
2. The reamer of claim 1 wherein a generally circular hole precedes the cutting edges as the reamer is rotated for cutting.
3. The reamer of claims 1 to 2, wherein the series of cutting teeth are arranged uniformly and
15 spaced apart on the cutting shell.
4. The reamer of claims 1 to 3, wherein the cutting teeth are arranged in a spiral arrangement on the cutting shell.
- 20 5. The reamer of claims 1 to 4, wherein the cutting shell is a portion of a sphere in which the length of the cutting edges are selected so as to completely cut the shape, thereby potentially using less teeth than permissible with a cutting shell that has a more complete hemispherical shape.
- 25 6. The reamer of claim 5, wherein the cutting shell is a hemisphere or portion thereof.





FIG. 1

PRIOR ART





FIG. 2B

PRIOR ART



PRIOR ART

FIG. 2C





FIG.3B






FIG. 3C




FIG.4

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/IB 03/00092

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 A61B17/16

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 A61B B23D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 116 165 A (SALYER PAUL E) 26 May 1992 (1992-05-26) column 3, line 30 - line 59 ---	1-6
X	US 5 968 049 A (DA ROLD ORLANDO) 19 October 1999 (1999-10-19) claim 1 -----	1,2,5,6

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

24 April 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

08/05/2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Hamann, J

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/IB 000092

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5116165	A	26-05-1992	NONE	
US 5968049	A	19-10-1999	CH 692178 A5	15-03-2002
			CN 1200261 A	02-12-1998
			EP 0879577 A2	25-11-1998